

# **PSF Reconstruction for LGS MCAO**

L.Gilles<sup>a</sup>, C.Correia<sup>b</sup>, J.P.Véran<sup>b</sup>, L.Wang<sup>a</sup> and B.Ellerbroek<sup>a</sup>

aTMT, bHIA





and noise equivalent angles (NEA)

Fig.1 Sample NGS asterisms investigated, S/N ratio



different NGS asterisms investigated and shown in Fig.1

(09r0.base)





Fig.4 L-band PSFR SR error across 30" FoV for ideal case of simulation matching perfectly system (upper left), 10% r0 modeling error (upper right), un-modeled jitter (lower left) and 20% LGS WFS signal level modeling error. Same color convention as



Fig.5 J-band differential photometry error resulting from PSFR errors for the 4 cases investigated. Same color and notation conventions as in Fig.4

Acknowledgements: The TMT Project gratefully acknowledges the support of the TMT collaborating institutions. They are the Association of Canadian Universities for Research in Astronomy (ACURA), the California Institute of Technology, the University of California, the National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, the National Astronomical Observatories of China and their consortium partners, and the Department of Science and Technology of India and their supported institutes This work was supported as well by the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation, the Canada Foundation for Innovation, the Ontario Ministry of Research and Innovation, the National Research Council of Canada, the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, the British Columbia Knowledge Development Fund, the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy (AURA) and the U.S. National Science Foundation

#### THIRTY METER TELESCOPE

#### 1. Introduction

- · PSFR is critical for diffraction limited astronomical AO science
- Prime examples:
- 1. Photometry/Astrometry on crowded star fields
- 2. Deconvolution of extended objects
- · Pioneered by Véran in 1997 and Flicker in 2003
- Approach based on:
  - 1. Post-processing AO telemetry data
  - 2. Models of WFS measurement noise, DM fitting and WFS aliasing

### 2. Innovative Simulation model based approach to PSFR for LGS MCAO

- OTF estimated at every field point as the product of long-exposure (LE) tip/tilt-removed (TTR) and tip/tilt (TT) OTFs (see Fig.3)
- · TTR OTF estimate obtained by post-processing on-axis LGS WFS measurement covariance matrix:
  - Denoising
  - Phase reconstruction (simple un-regularized zonal least-squares reconstructor)
  - Fitting add up (aliasing can be omitted)
  - Fig.3 Top-level block diagram of PSFR algorithm • Post-processing performed identically on system and simulation LGS WFS telemetry !
  - · Extrapolation from on-axis finite range LGS to off-axis infinite range science via structure function (SF) ratio · SF ratio provides robustness against r0 modeling errors !
  - TT OTF estimate obtained by post-processing low-order multi-NGS WFS measurement covariance matrix
    - Denoising
      - NGS mode reconstruction (typically 5 modes, consisting of global TT and focus/astig. on 2 DMs)
      - TT projection along science directions
  - · Post-processing performed identically on system and simulation NGS WFS telemetry !
  - Balancing via TT OTF ratio
  - Overall process requires accurate knowledge of Cn2, r0, LGS WFS signal level during science integration
    - · SLODAR, DM seeing estimator, seeing monitor

## 3. Performance evaluation with NFRIAOS simulation data (D=30m)

- J-band PSF FWHM: 8.6mas
- 30" circular FoV
- 50s integration
- · Zenith observations
- r0=18.6cm ("base") and 16.7cm ("09r0") i.e. seeing 0.55" and 0.62" at 500nm
- 4 different NGS asterisms (M<sub>1</sub>: 17-20, see Fig.1, 50-80nm residual NGS mode WFE, 1-2mas residual TT WFE
- ~20% Strehl ratio (SR) variability across 30" FoV (see Fig.2)
- 4 cases studied:
  - 1. Simulation perfectly matched to system
  - 2. 10% r0 modeling error
  - 3. Un-modeled 3mas RMS 2Hz TT jitter (corrected by NGS servo to -10dB in variance, i.e. ~68% in RMS) 4. 20% LGS WFS signal level modeling error
- 4. Results
- · Reconstructed PSF Enclosed Energy (EE) error largest near the origin, i.e. dominated by SR error
- Very uniform SR error across 30" FoV (see Fig.4)
- Percent level absolute and differential photometry errors achieved for cases studied (see Figs. 4 and 5)
- Experimental validation mandatory
- · Preliminary validation plans discussed with Gemini South



Simulated NFIRAOS K-band image of the Galactic Center for a 17" x 17" FoV SR shown is for center of field and 8.5' radial offset. Image credit: Leo Meyer (UCLA) and Matthias Schöck (TMT)



Long Exposure Science OTE



Error (%)

SB

ō.



(09r0.09r0)