“Peer vs TAC review”

More flexible time allocation
Semesterly deadlines

July
End of September
November
End of January
February
August
September
14 months...

Good idea
Deadline
Got time?
Phase 2 deadline
Start observing
Actual observing
Got data?

Faster turn-over?
Monthly deadlines

Deadline every end of the month

The proposers are the reviewers (with two weeks to review)

A month of observing is added to the queue

Immediately observable
Example in Practice
(to be adapted to the users needs/choice)

Proposer commits to review 10 proposals within two weeks of the deadline

Proposer ranks according to a given scheme (e.g. 1 B1, 2 B2, 2 B3, 5 rejections)
optional: add incentive to do a good job

Each proposal got evaluated by 10 peers (e.g. compute median, clip high/low)

Add B1, B2, B3 proposal to the queue
Example in Practice (cont.)

Proposals are observed until partner percentage is reached

Rejected proposals cannot be re-submitted (within 6 months?)

Scheduled proposal remain in the queue for 1 year (or until target is not visible anymore)
The TAC process is replaced by a peer review process.

The scheme wins about 12 months over the competition.